

FILE COPY

May 22, 1986

TO: File
FROM: Randy Harden
RE: Reclamation Plan Review, Anaconda Carr Fork Project,
ACT/0477004
045

Review comment concerning the Carr Fork Project
Reclamation of the lower facilities are listed below:

Rule M-3 - JRH

Cumulative Reclamation Treatment Maps 6-9A and 6-9B were added to the mine plan to indicate reclamation work to be accomplished for individual areas. These maps fail to encompass all of the areas in which reclamation work will be required. Areas such as minor roads, surface pipelines and minor structures are found outside of the delineated areas. Consequently the acreages for the disturbed areas are inaccurate and the maps do not depict all of the disturbed areas.

To alleviate this problem, it is advised that the Operator incorporate these areas into the map area and into the plan as follows. A disturbed area boundary should be included on the drawings which include all of the detailed areas plus those miscellaneous areas where minor work will be accomplished. minor work would involve ripping and seeding of minor road, removal of surface pipe lines, equipment and smaller structures, and other such incidental work as may be required during reclamation. Other miscellaneous areas would be those areas disturbed as a result of the reclamation construction activities. This would include haul roads from borrow pits and other such areas as may be disturbed as a result of construction activities. The Operator should incorporate into the disturbed area boundary, all incidental and miscellaneous areas which reclamation work is to occur.

The text of the plan could include the planned reclamation and revegetation procedures for such generalized work to be done on the site and include an estimate as to the acreages for such generalized areas.

The Operator needs to provide a map clearly depicting the boundaries and the reclamation responsibilities between Anaconda Minerals Company and Kennecott Copper Corporation. All previously owned areas including the exhaust shaft facilities located in the Bingham pit should be included to show the transfer of the property.

Page 2.
ACT/047/004
May 22, 1986

Rule M-5 - JRH

The Operator has not provided a cost estimate of reclamation work for bonding purposes for the site. It is understood that this information will be submitted when the plans for the operations are finalized and bidding for the reclamation construction work is conducted.

Liability for reclamation and bonding for properties sold to Kennecott shall remain the liability of the Anaconda Minerals Company until such time as Kennecott submits maps and a reclamation plan for those areas and surety is posted by Kennecott.

Rule M-6 - JRH

Cumulative Treatment Maps 6-9A and 6-9B should include a color code or shading to delineate the reclamation treatment areas. Some of the areas shown on the map are difficult to identify with respect to their extent.

Rule M-10 - JRH

(7) The Operator has not shown which roads and pads are to remain upon the completion of reclamation. Any roads not shown and approved for post mining land use shall be reclaimed.

cc: S. Linner

1007R-4